Recurrent Neural Network for Language Modeling Task

Tsuyoshi Okita

Ludwig-Maximilian-Universitat Munich

12 December 2016

Credit: Slides by Kyunghun Cho / Kevin Duh / Richard Socher

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Outline

- Review
- Language Modeling Task
- Feedforward Language Model
- Recurrent Neural Network Language Model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- LSTM / GRU
- Vanishing / Exploding Gradient

Logistic Regression (1-layer Neural Networks)



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

æ

2-layer Neural Networks



$$f(x) = \sigma(\sum_{j} w_{j} \cdot h_{j}) = \sigma(\sum_{j} w_{j} \cdot \sigma(\sum_{i} w_{ij} x_{i}))$$

1 Basics Neural Network

Computation Graph Why Deep is Hard 2006 Breakthrough

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Trick

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

Called Multilayer Perceptron (MLP), but more like multilayer logistic regression

Classification



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目目 のへで

Classification (cont)



Expressive Power of Non-linearity

- A deeper architecture is more expressive than a shallow one given same number of nodes [Bishop, 1995]
 - 1-layer nets only model linear hyperplanes
 - 2-layer nets can model any continuous function (given sufficient nodes)
 - ▶ >3-layer nets can do so with fewer nodes



1 Basics Neural Network

Computation Graph Why Deep is Hard 2006 Breakthrough

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Trick

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

Gradient Descent for Logistic Regression

- ► Assume Squared-Error* $Loss(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} (\sigma(w^T x^{(m)}) - y^{(m)})^2$
- Gradient:

 $\nabla_{w} Loss = \sum_{m} \left[\sigma(w^{T} x^{(m)}) - y^{(m)} \right] \sigma'(w^{T} x^{(m)}) x^{(m)}$

- Define input into non-linearity $in^{(m)} = w^T x^{(m)}$
- General form of gradient: $\sum_{m} Error^{(m)} * \sigma'(in^{(m)}) * x^{(m)}$
- Derivative of sigmoid $\sigma'(z) = \sigma(z)(1 \sigma(z))$
- Gradient Descent Algorithm:
 - 1. Initialize w randomly

2. Update until convergence: $w \leftarrow w - \gamma(\nabla_w Loss)$

- Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) algorithm:
 - 1. Initialize w randomly
 - 2. Update until convergence:

 $w \leftarrow w - \gamma(Error^{(m)} * \sigma'(in^{(m)}) * x^{(m)})$

*An alternative is Cross-Entropy loss: $\sum_{m} y^{(m)} \log(\sigma(w^{T} x^{(m)})) + (1 - y^{(m)}) \log(1 - \sigma(w^{T} x^{(m)}))$

1 Basics

Neural Network

Computation Graph Why Deep is Hard 2006 Breakthrough

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Tricks

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

4 New Stuff Encoder-Decoder Attention/Memory Deep Reinforcement Variational Auto-Encoder

(p.9)

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

- Gradient Descent Algorithm:
 - 1. Initialize w randomly
 - 2. Update until convergence: $w \leftarrow w \gamma(\nabla_w Loss)$
- Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) algorithm:
 - 1. Initialize w randomly
 - 2. Update until convergence:

 $w \leftarrow w - \gamma(\frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{m \in B} Error^{(m)} * \sigma'(in^{(m)}) * x^{(m)})$ where minibatch *B* ranges from e.g. 1-100 samples

- Learning rate γ:
 - For convergence, should decrease with each iteration t through samples

• e.g.
$$\gamma_t = \frac{1}{\lambda * t}$$
 or $\gamma_t = \frac{\gamma_0}{1 + \gamma_0 * \lambda * t}$

1 Basics

Neural Network

Computation Graph Vhy Deep is Hard 2006 Breakthrough

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Trick

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

SGD Pictorial View

- ► Loss objective contour plot: $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m}(\sigma(w^T x^{(m)}) - y^{(m)})^2 + ||w||$
 - Gradient descent goes in steepest descent direction
 - SGD is noisy descent (but faster per iteration)



1 Basics Neural Network Computation Graph Why Deep is Hard

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Trick

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

Training Neural Nets: Back-propagation



1. For each sample, compute $f(x^{(m)}) = \sigma(\sum_{i} w_{i} \cdot \sigma(\sum_{i} w_{ij} x_{i}^{(m)}))$ 2. If $f(x^{(m)}) \neq y^{(m)}$, back-propagate error and adjust weights $\{w_{ii}, w_i\}$.

Adjust weights

1 Basics Neural Network

2 Building Blocks

Assume two outputs (y_1, y_2) per input x, and loss per sample: $Loss = \sum_k \frac{1}{2} [\sigma(in_k) - y_k]^2$



1 Basics

Neural Network

Computation Graph Why Deep is Hard 2006 Breakthrough

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Trick

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

Assume two outputs (y_1, y_2) per input x, and loss per sample: $Loss = \sum_k \frac{1}{2} [\sigma(in_k) - y_k]^2$



$$\frac{\partial Loss}{\partial w_{jk}} = \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial in_k} \frac{\partial in_k}{\partial w_{jk}} = \delta_k \frac{\partial (\sum_j w_{jk}h_j)}{\partial w_{jk}} = \delta_k h_j$$

1 Basics

Neural Network

Computation Graph Vhy Deep is Hard 2006 Breakthrough

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Trick

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

Assume two outputs (y_1, y_2) per input x, and loss per sample: $Loss = \sum_k \frac{1}{2} [\sigma(in_k) - y_k]^2$



$$\frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial w_{jk}} = \frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial in_k} \frac{\partial in_k}{\partial w_{jk}} = \delta_k \frac{\partial (\sum_j w_{jk} h_j)}{\partial w_{jk}} = \delta_k h_j$$
$$\frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial w_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial in_j} \frac{\partial in_j}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_j \frac{\partial (\sum_j w_{ij} x_i)}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_j x_i$$

1 Basics

Neural Network

Computation Graph Why Deep is Hard 2006 Breakthrough

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Trick

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

Assume two outputs (y_1, y_2) per input x, and loss per sample: $Loss = \sum_k \frac{1}{2} [\sigma(in_k) - y_k]^2$



$$\frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial w_{jk}} = \frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial in_k} \frac{\partial in_k}{\partial w_{jk}} = \delta_k \frac{\partial (\sum_j w_{jk} h_j)}{\partial w_{jk}} = \delta_k h_j$$

$$\frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial w_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial in_j} \frac{\partial in_j}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_j \frac{\partial (\sum_j w_{ij} x_i)}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_j x_i$$

$$\delta_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial in_k} \left(\sum_k \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma(in_k) - y_k \right]^2 \right) = \left[\sigma(in_k) - y_k \right] \sigma'(in_k)$$

1 Basics

Neural Network

Computation Graph Why Deep is Hard 2006 Breakthrough

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Trick

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

Assume two outputs (y_1, y_2) per input x, and loss per sample: $Loss = \sum_k \frac{1}{2} [\sigma(in_k) - y_k]^2$



$$\frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial w_{jk}} = \frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial in_{k}} \frac{\partial in_{k}}{\partial w_{jk}} = \delta_{k} \frac{\partial (\sum_{j} w_{jk} h_{j})}{\partial w_{jk}} = \delta_{k} h_{j}$$

$$\frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial w_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial in_{j}} \frac{\partial in_{j}}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_{j} \frac{\partial (\sum_{j} w_{ij} x_{i})}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_{j} x_{i}$$

$$\delta_{k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial in_{k}} \left(\sum_{k} \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma(in_{k}) - y_{k} \right]^{2} \right) = \left[\sigma(in_{k}) - y_{k} \right] \sigma'(in_{k})$$

$$\delta_{j} = \sum_{k} \frac{\partial \text{Loss}}{\partial in_{k}} \frac{\partial in_{k}}{\partial in_{j}} = \sum_{k} \delta_{k} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial in_{j}} \left(\sum_{j} w_{jk} \sigma(in_{j}) \right) = \left[\sum_{k} \delta_{k} w_{jk} \right] \sigma'(in_{j})$$
(p.15)

1 Basics <u>Ne</u>ural Network

Computation Graph Why Deep is Hard 2006 Breakthrough

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Trick

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

Training Neural Nets: Back-propagation

All updates involve some scaled error from output * input feature:

►
$$\frac{\partial Loss}{\partial w_{jk}} = \delta_k h_j$$
 where $\delta_k = [\sigma(in_k) - y_k] \sigma'(in_k)$
► $\frac{\partial Loss}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_j x_i$ where $\delta_j = [\sum_k \delta_k w_{jk}] \sigma'(in_j)$

First compute δ_k from final layer, then δ_j for previous layer and iterate.



1 Basics

Neural Network

Computation Graph Vhy Deep is Hard 2006 Breakthrough

2 Building Blocks RBM Auto-Encoders Recurrent Units Convolution

3 Tricks

Optimization Regularization Infrastructure

Recurrent Neural Networks (Today's Lecture)

▶ Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN): a recurrent Layer is defined.



- We want to treat RNN like feed-forward NN!
 - To unfold the recursion.



< □ ▶ < 🗇 ▶

Outline

- Review
- Language Modeling Task
- Feedforward Language Model
- Recurrent Neural Network Language Model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- LSTM / GRU
- Vanishing / Exploding Gradient

Language Modeling Task

Language Modeling Task

- Given a sequence of words (sentences),
- Obtain P_{LM}: language model
- Notation: x_t: word in a sentence position t

Ianguage model: probability distribution over sequences of words.

- $P_{LM}(x_t) = P(x_t|x_{t-1})$ (1-gram language model)
- $P_{LM}(x_t) = P(x_t | x_{t-1}, x_{t-2})$ (2-gram language model)
- $P_{LM}(x_t) = P(x_t | x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, x_{t-3})$ (3-gram language model)

 Used in machine translation, speech recognition, part-of-speech tagging, information retrieval, ...

Language Models: Sentence probabilities



There are way too many histories once you're into a sentence a few words! Exponentially many.

Traditional Fix: Markov Assumption

An *n*th order Markov assumption assumes each word depends only on a short linear history

$$p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T) = \prod_{t=1}^T p(x_t | x_1, \dots, x_{t-1})$$
$$\approx \prod_{t=1}^T p(x_t | x_{t-n}, \dots, x_{t-1})$$

Problems of Traditional Markov Model Assumptions (1): Sparsity

Issue: Very small window gives bad prediction; statistics for even a modest window are sparse

Example:

 $P(w_0|w_{-3}, w_{-2}, w_{-1}) |V| = 100,000 \rightarrow 10^{15} \text{ contexts}$

Most have not been seen

The traditional answer is to use various backoff and smoothing techniques, but no good solution

Problems of Traditional Markov Model Assumptions (2): Context

Issue: Dependency beyond the window is ignored

Example:

the same **stump** which had impaled the car of many a guest in the past thirty years and which he refused to have **removed**

Outline

- Review
- Language Modeling Task
- Feedforward Language Model
- Recurrent Neural Network Language Model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- LSTM / GRU
- Vanishing / Exploding Gradient

Neural Language Models

The neural approach EBengio, Ducharme, Vincent & Jauvin JMLR 2003J represents words as <u>dense</u> distributed vectors so there can be <u>sharing of statistical</u> weight between similar words

Doing just this solves the sparseness problem of conventional n-gram models

Neural (Probabilistic) Language Model [Bengio, Ducharme, Vincent & Jauvin JMLR 2003]



now

Neural (Probabilistic) Language Model [Bengio, Ducharme, Vincent & Jauvin JMLR 2003]



Neural (Probabilistic) Language Model [Bengio, Ducharme, Vincent & Jauvin JMLR 2003]



Outline

- Review
- Language Modeling Task
- Feedforward Language Model
- Recurrent Neural Network Language Model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- LSTM / GRU
- Vanishing / Exploding Gradient

Language Modeling Task

Language Modeling Task

- Given a sequence of words (sentences),
- Obtain P_{LM}: language model
- Notation: x_t: word in a sentence position t

Ianguage model: probability distribution over sequences of words.

- $P_{LM}(x_t) = P(x_t|x_{t-1})$ (1-gram language model)
- $P_{LM}(x_t) = P(x_t | x_{t-1}, x_{t-2})$ (2-gram language model)
- $P_{LM}(x_t) = P(x_t | x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, x_{t-3})$ (3-gram language model)

 Used in machine translation, speech recognition, part-of-speech tagging, information retrieval, ...

Problems of Traditional Markov Model Assumptions (2): Context

Issue: Dependency beyond the window is ignored

Example:

the same **stump** which had impaled the car of many a guest in the past thirty years and which he refused to have **removed**

A Non-Markovian Language Model

Can we directly model the true conditional probability? $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T) = \prod_{t=1}^T p(x_t | x_1, \dots, x_{t-1})$

Can we build a neural language model for this?

1. Feature extraction: $h_t = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$

2. Prediction: $p(x_{t+1}|x_1, ..., x_{t-1}) = g(h_t)$

How can f take a variable-length input?

A Non-Markovian Language Model

Can we directly model the true conditional probability? $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T) = \prod_{t=1}^T p(x_t | x_1, \dots, x_{t-1})$

Recursive construction of f

1. Initialization $h_0 = 0$

2. Recursion $h_t = f(x_t, h_{t-1})$

We call h_t a hidden state or memory

 h_t summarizes the history (x_1,\ldots,x_t)



A Non-Markovian Language Model

Example: p(the, cat, is, eating)

(1) Initialization: $h_0 = 0$

(2) Recursion with Prediction:

$$h_1 = f(h_0, \langle bos \rangle) \rightarrow p(the) = g(h_1)$$

$$h_2 = f(h_1, cat) \rightarrow p(cat|the) = g(h_2)$$

$$h_3 = f(h_2, is) \rightarrow p(is|the, cat) = g(h_3)$$

$$h_4 = f(h_3, eating) \rightarrow p(eating|the, cat, is) = g(h_4)$$

(3) Combination: $p(\text{the, cat, is, eating}) = g(h_1)g(h_2)g(h_3)g(h_4)$ 47 Read, Update and Predict

A Recurrent Neural Network Language Model solves the second problem!

Example: p(the, cat, is, eating)



Read, Update and Predict
Transition Function $h_t = f(h_{t-1}, x_t)$

Inputs

- i. Current word $x_t \in \{1, 2, ..., |V|\}$
- ii. Previous state $h_{t-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Parameters

- i. Input weight matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{|V| \times d}$
- ii. Transition weight matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$
- iii. Bias vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$



Transition Function
$$h_t = f(h_{t-1}, x_t)$$

Naïve Transition Function

$$f(h_{t-1}, x_t) = \frac{\tanh(W[x_t] + Uh_{t-1} + b)}{\tanh(W[x_t] + Uh_{t-1} + b)}$$

Element-wise nonlinear transformation

Trainable word vector

Linear transformation of previous state



Prediction Function $p(x_{t+1} = w | x_{\leq t}) = g_w(h_t)$

Inputs

i. Current state $h_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Parameters

- i. Softmax matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{|V| \times d}$
- ii. Bias vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$



Prediction Function $p(x_{t+1} = w | x_{\leq t}) = g_w(h_t)$

$$p(x_{t+1} = w | x_{\leq t}) = g_w(h_t) = \frac{\exp(R[w] \cdot h_t + c_w)}{\sum_{i=1}^{|V|} \exp(R[i] \cdot h_t + c_i)}$$

Compatibility between trainable word vector and hidden state

Exponentiate



Normalize

Training a recurrent language model

Having determined the model form, we:

- 1. Initialize all parameters of the models, including the word representations with small random numbers
- 2. Define a loss function: how badly we predict actual next words [log loss or cross-entropy loss]
- 3. Repeatedly attempt to predict each next word
- 4. Backpropagate our loss to update **all** parameters
- Just doing this learns good word representations
 and good prediction functions it's almost magic

Outline

- Review
- Language Modeling Task
- Feedforward Language Model
- Recurrent Neural Network Language Model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- LSTM / GRU
- Vanishing / Exploding Gradient

Training a Recurrent Language Model

• Log-probability of one training sentence

$$\log p(x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots, x_{T^n}^n) = \sum_{t=1}^r \log p(x_t^n | x_1^n, \dots, x_{t-1}^n)$$

 T^n

- Training set $D = \left\{ X^1, X^2, \dots, X^N \right\}$
- Log-likelihood Functional $\mathcal{L}(\theta, D) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T^n} \log p(x_t^n | x_1^n, \dots, x_{t-1}^n)$

Minimize $-\mathcal{L}(\theta, D)$ *!!*

$$p(\text{the}) \qquad p(\text{cat}|\dots) \qquad p(\text{is}|\dots) \quad p(\text{eating}|\dots)$$

$$(h_0) \qquad (h_1) \qquad (h_2) \qquad (h_3) \qquad (h_3$$

Gradient Descent

- Move slowly in the steepest descent direction $\theta \leftarrow \theta \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta, D)$
- Computational cost of a single update: O(N)
- Not suitable for a large corpus



Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Estimate the steepest direction with a minibatch $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta, D) \approx \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta, \{X^1, \dots, X^n\})$
- Until the convergence (w.r.t. a validation set) $|\mathcal{L}(\theta, D_{val}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta - \eta \mathcal{L}(\theta, D), D_{val})| \leq \epsilon$



Outline

- Review
- Language Modeling Task
- Feedforward Language Model
- Recurrent Neural Network Language Model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- LSTM / GRU
- Vanishing / Exploding Gradient

Stochastic Gradient Descent

• *Not* trivial to build a minibatch

Sentence 1				
Sentence 2				
Sentence 3				
Sentence 4				

- 1. Padding and Masking: *suitable for GPU's, but wasteful*
 - Wasted computation

Sentence 1		0's			
Sentence 2	0's				
Sentence 3					
Sentence 4		0's			

Stochastic Gradient Descent

- 1. Padding and Masking: *suitable for GPU's, but wasteful*
 - Wasted computation

62

Sentence 1		0's		
Sentence 2	0's			
Sentence 3				
Sentence 4		0's		

- 2. Smarter Padding and Masking: *minimize the waste*
 - Ensure that the length differences are minimal.
 - Sort the sentences and sequentially build a minibatch

Sentence 1	0's		
Sentence 2		0's	
Sentence 3		0's	
Sentence 4			

How do we compute $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta, D)$?

Cost as a sum of per-sample cost function

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta, D) = \sum_{X \in D} \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta, X)$$

• Per-sample cost as a sum of per-step cost functions $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta, X) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla \log p(x_t | x_{< t}, \theta)$ U U U U U U

How do we compute $\nabla \log p(x_t | x_{< t}, \theta)$?

• Compute per-step cost function from time t = T



Intuitively, what's happening here?

1. Measure the influence of the past on the future $\frac{\partial \log p(x_{t+n}|x_{< t+n})}{\partial h_t} = \frac{\partial \log p(x_{t+n}|x_{< t+n})}{\partial g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial h_{t+n}} \frac{\partial h_{t+n}}{\partial h_{t+n-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial h_{t+1}}{\partial h_t}$

2. How does the perturbation at t affect $p(x_{t+n}|x_{< t+n})$?



Intuitively, what's happening here?

1. Measure the influence of the past on the future $\frac{\partial \log p(x_{t+n}|x_{< t+n})}{\partial h_t} = \frac{\partial \log p(x_{t+n}|x_{< t+n})}{\partial g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial h_{t+n}} \frac{\partial h_{t+n}}{\partial h_{t+n-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial h_{t+1}}{\partial h_t}$

2. How does the perturbation at *t* affect $p(x_{t+n}|x_{< t+n})$?

3. Change the parameters to maximize $p(x_{t+n}|x_{< t+n})$

Intuitively, what's happening here?

1. Measure the influence of the past on the future $\frac{\partial \log p(x_{t+n}|x_{<t+n})}{\partial h_t} = \frac{\partial \log p(x_{t+n}|x_{<t+n})}{\partial g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial h_{t+n}} \frac{\partial h_{t+n}}{\partial h_{t+n-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial h_{t+1}}{\partial h_t}$

2. With a naïve transition function

$$f(h_{t-1}, x_{t-1}) = \tanh(W[x_{t-1}] + Uh_{t-1} + b)$$

We get $\frac{\partial J_{t+n}}{\partial h_t} = \frac{\partial J_{t+n}}{\partial g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial h_{t+N}} \prod_{n=1}^N U^{\mathsf{T}} \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\partial \tanh(a_{t+n})}{\partial a_{t+n}}\right)$

Problematic!

[Bengio, IEEE 1994]

Gradient either vanishes or explodes

• What happens?

$$\frac{\partial J_{t+n}}{\partial h_t} = \frac{\partial J_{t+n}}{\partial g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial h_{t+N}} \underbrace{\prod_{n=1}^N U^\top \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\partial \tanh(a_{t+n})}{\partial a_{t+n}}\right)}_{\partial a_{t+n}}$$

1. The gradient *likely* explodes if

 $e_{\max} \ge \frac{1}{\max \tanh'(x)} = 1$ 2. The gradient *likely* vanishes if $e_{\max} < \frac{1}{\max \tanh'(x)} = 1, \text{ where } e_{\max} : \text{ largest eigenvalue of } U$

[Bengio, Simard, Frasconi, TNN1994; Hochreiter, Bengio, Frasconi, Schmidhuber, 2001] Vanishing/Exploding Gradient (Intuition Only)

long-term dependencies

- Suppose that the backpropagation involves repeated multiplication of matrix W.
- After t steps, this becomes W^t .
- Suppose W allows eigendecomposition, $W = V \operatorname{diag}(\lambda) V^{-1}$.
- Then $W^t = (V \operatorname{diag}(\lambda) V^{-1})^t = V \operatorname{diag}(\lambda)^t V^{-1}$.
- ▶ When eigenvalues which are greater than 1, this will explode.
- ▶ When eigenvalues which are less than 1, this will vanish.
 - Exploding gradients: this makes learning unstable.
 - Vanishing gradients: it is difficult to know which direction the parameters should move to improve the cost function

Addressing Exploding Gradient

- "when gradients explode so does the curvature along v, leading to a wall in the error surface"
- Gradient Clipping
 1. Norm clipping

$$\tilde{\nabla} \leftarrow \begin{cases} \frac{c}{\|\nabla\|} \nabla & \text{,if } \|\nabla\| \ge c\\ \nabla & \text{,otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. Element-wise clipping



 $\nabla_i \leftarrow \min(c, |\nabla_i|) \operatorname{sgn}(\nabla_i), \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, \dim \nabla\}$

[Pascanu, Mikolov, Bengio, ICML 2013]

Vanishing gradient is super-problematic

• When we only observe

$$\left\|\frac{\partial h_{t+N}}{\partial h_t}\right\| = \left\|\prod_{n=1}^N U^\top \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\partial \tanh(a_{t+n})}{\partial a_{t+n}}\right)\right\| \to 0 ,$$

- We cannot tell whether
 - 1. No dependency between *t* and *t*+*n* in data, or
 - 2. Wrong configuration of parameters:

$$e_{\max}(U) < \frac{1}{\max \tanh'(x)}$$

Outline

- Review
- Language Modeling Task
- Feedforward Language Model
- Recurrent Neural Network Language Model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- LSTM / GRU
- Vanishing / Exploding Gradient

GRU/LSTM Ideas

Model that operates at multiple time scales

- some parts of the model operate at fine-grained time scales and can handle small details.
- other parts operate at coarse time scales and transfer information from the distant past to the present more efficiently.
- Strategies
 - addition of skip connections across time
 - "leaky units" which integrate signals with different time constraints
 - removal of some of the connections used to model fine-grained time sclae.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Is the problem with the naïve transition function?

$$f(h_{t-1}, x_t) = \tanh(W[x_t] + Uh_{t-1} + b)$$

• With it, the temporal derivative is

$$\frac{\partial h_{t+1}}{\partial h_t} = U^\top \frac{\partial \tanh(a)}{\partial a}$$

 It implies that the error must be backpropagated through all the intermediate nodes:

$$(h_t) \stackrel{U^{\top}}{\longrightarrow} U^{\top} \bigcirc \stackrel{U^{\top}}{\longrightarrow} U^{\top} \bigcirc \stackrel{U^{\top}}{\longrightarrow} h_{t+N}$$

• It implies that the error must backpropagate through all the intermediate nodes:



• Perhaps we can create shortcut connections.



• Perhaps we can create *adaptive* shortcut connections.



- Candidate Update $\tilde{h}_t = \tanh(W[x_t] + Uh_{t-1} + b)$
- Update gate $u_t = \sigma(W_u[x_t] + U_u h_{t-1} + b_u)$

•: element-wise multiplication

Let the net prune unnecessary connections *adaptively*.



$$f(h_{t-1}, x_t) = u_t \odot \tilde{h}_t + (1 + u_t) \odot h_{t-1}$$

- Candidate Update $h_t = \tanh(W[x_t] + U(r_t \odot h_{t-1}) + b)$
- Reset gate $r_t = \sigma(W_r [x_t] + U_r h_{t-1} + b_r)$
- Update gate $u_t = \sigma(W_u [x_t] + U_u h_{t-1} + b_u)$

tanh-RNN



GRU ...



Clearly gated recurrent units are much more realistic.

Two most widely used gated recurrent units

Gated Recurrent Unit

[Cho et al., EMNLP2014; Chung, Gulcehre, Cho, Bengio, DLUFL2014]

$$h_t = u_t \odot \tilde{h}_t + (1 - u_t) \odot h_{t-1}$$
$$\tilde{h} = \tanh(W [x_t] + U(r_t \odot h_{t-1}) + b)$$
$$u_t = \sigma(W_u [x_t] + U_u h_{t-1} + b_u)$$
$$r_t = \sigma(W_r [x_t] + U_r h_{t-1} + b_r)$$

Long Short-Term Memory

[Hochreiter&Schmidhuber, NC1999; Gers, Thesis2001]

$$h_t = o_t \odot \tanh(c_t)$$

$$c_t = f_t \odot c_{t-1} + i_t \odot \tilde{c}_t$$

$$\tilde{c}_t = \tanh(W_c [x_t] + U_c h_{t-1} + b_c)$$

$$o_t = \sigma(W_o [x_t] + U_o h_{t-1} + b_o)$$

$$i_t = \sigma(W_i [x_t] + U_i h_{t-1} + b_i)$$

$$f_t = \sigma(W_f [x_t] + U_f h_{t-1} + b_f)$$

Training an RNN

A few well-established + my personal wisdoms

- 1. Use LSTM or GRU: makes your life so much simpler
- 2. Initialize recurrent matrices to be orthogonal
- 3. Initialize other matrices with a sensible scale
- 4. Use adaptive learning rate algorithms: Adam, Adadelta, ...
- 5. Clip the norm of the gradient: "1" seems to be a reasonable threshold when used together with adam or adadelta.
- 6. Be patient!

[Saxe et al., ICLR2014; Ba, Kingma, ICLR2015; Zeiler, arXiv2012; Pascanu et al., ICML2013]

Outline

- Review
- Language Modeling Task
- Feedforward Language Model
- Recurrent Neural Network Language Model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- LSTM / GRU
- Vanishing / Exploding Gradient

The vanishing/exploding gradient problem

• Multiply the same matrix at each time step during backprop



The vanishing gradient problem - Details

• Similar but simpler RNN formulation:

$$h_t = Wf(h_{t-1}) + W^{(hx)}x_{[t]}$$
$$\hat{y}_t = W^{(S)}f(h_t)$$

• Total error is the sum of each error at time steps t

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial W} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial W}$$

• Hardcore chain rule application:

$$\frac{\partial E_t}{\partial W} = \sum_{k=1}^t \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial y_t} \frac{\partial y_t}{\partial h_t} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_k} \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial W}$$

The vanishing gradient problem - Details

- Similar to backprop but less efficient formulation
- Useful for analysis we'll look at:

$$\frac{\partial E_t}{\partial W} = \sum_{k=1}^t \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial y_t} \frac{\partial y_t}{\partial h_t} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_k} \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial W}$$

- Remember: $h_t = Wf(h_{t-1}) + W^{(hx)}x_{[t]}$
- More chain rule, remember:

$$\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_k} = \prod_{j=k+1}^t \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial h_{j-1}}$$

• Each partial is a Jacobian:

Jacobian:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{f}}{d\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Lecture 1, Slide 17

Richard Socher

4/21/16

The vanishing gradient problem - Details

• From previous slide:
$$\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_k} = \prod_{j=k+1}^t \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial h_{j-1}}$$

• Remember: $h_t = Wf(h_{t-1}) + W^{(hx)}x_{[t]}$

า

t

AL

To compute Jacobian, derive each element of matrix:

t

$$rac{\partial h_{j,m}}{\partial h_{j-1,n}}$$

 h_t

 h_{t-1}

$$\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_k} = \prod_{j=k+1} \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial h_{j-1}} = \prod_{j=k+1} W^T \operatorname{diag}[f'(h_{j-1})]$$
• Where: $\operatorname{diag}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & z_{n-1} \\ & & & z_n \end{pmatrix}$

Check at home that you understand the diag matrix formulation

Richard Socher
The vanishing gradient problem - Details

• Analyzing the norms of the Jacobians, yields:

$$\left\|\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial h_{j-1}}\right\| \le \|W^T\| \|\operatorname{diag}[f'(h_{j-1})]\| \le \beta_W \beta_h$$

- Where we defined 's as upper bounds of the norms
- The gradient is a product of Jacobian matrices, each associated with a step in the forward computation.

$$\left\|\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_k}\right\| = \left\|\prod_{j=k+1}^t \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial h_{j-1}}\right\| \le \left(\beta_W \beta_h\right)^{t-k}$$

This can become very small or very large quickly [Bengio et al 1994], and the locality assumption of gradient descent breaks down. → Vanishing or exploding gradient

Lecture 1, Slide 19

Richard Socher

Why is the vanishing gradient a problem?

• The error at a time step ideally can tell a previous time step from many steps away to change during backprop



The vanishing gradient problem for language models

- In the case of language modeling or question answering words from time steps far away are not taken into consideration when training to predict the next word
- Example:

Jane walked into the room. John walked in too. It was late in the day. Jane said hi to _____

IPython Notebook with vanishing gradient example

- Example of simple and clean NNet implementation
- Comparison of sigmoid and ReLu units
- A little bit of vanishing gradient

In [21]: plt.plot(np.array(relu_array[:6000]),color='blue')
plt.plot(np.array(sigm_array[:6000]),color='green')
plt.title('Sum of magnitudes of gradients -- hidden layer neurons')

Out[21]: <matplotlib.text.Text at 0x10a331310>



Lecture 1, Slide 23

Richard Socher

Trick for exploding gradient: clipping trick

• The solution first introduced by Mikolov is to clip gradients to a maximum value.

Algorithm 1 Pseudo-code for norm clipping the gradients whenever they explode

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}} \leftarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \theta} \\ \mathbf{if} \quad \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}\| \geq threshold \ \mathbf{then} \\ \hat{\mathbf{g}} \leftarrow \frac{threshold}{\|\hat{\mathbf{g}}\|} \hat{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{end} \ \mathbf{if}$$

• Makes a big difference in RNNs.

Gradient clipping intuition



Figure from paper: On the difficulty of training Recurrent Neural Networks, Pascanu et al. 2013

- Error surface of a single hidden unit RNN,
- High curvature walls
- Solid lines: standard gradient descent trajectories
- Dashed lines gradients rescaled to fixed size

For vanishing gradients: Initialization + ReLus!

- Initialize W^(*)'s to identity matrix I and f(z) = rect(z) = max(z,0)
- \rightarrow Huge difference!



- Initialization idea first introduced in *Parsing with Compositional Vector Grammars*, Socher et al. 2013
- New experiments with recurrent neural nets 2 weeks ago (!) in A Simple Way to Initialize Recurrent Networks of Rectified Linear Units, Le et al. 2015

Conclusion

- Language Modeling Task
- Feedforward Language Model
- Recurrent Neural Network Language Model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- LSTM / GRU
- Vanishing / Exploding Gradient

► Thank you for your attention!!

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

References

- Richard Socher's ipython code for vanishing gradient problem: http://cs224d.stanford.edu/notebooks/vanishing_grad_example
- Various optionsation algorithms (Alec Radford): http://www.denizyuret.com/2015/03/alec-radfords-animations-f

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ