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#### Abstract

The class of $n$-dimensional regular relations has various closure properties that are interesting for practical applications. From a computational point of view, each closure operation may be realized with a corresponding construction for $k$-tape finite state automata. While the constructions for union, Kleene-star and (coordinate-wise) concatenation are simple, specific and non-trivial algorithms are needed for relational operations such as composition, projection, and cartesian product. Here we show that all these operations for $k$-tape automata can be represented and computed using standard operations on conventional one-tape finite state automata plus some trivial rules for tape manipulation. As a key notion we introduce the concept of a one-letter $k$-tape automaton, which yields a bridge between $k$-tape and one-tape automata. We achieve a general and efficient implementational framework for $n$-tape automata.


## 1 Introduction

Multi-tape finite state automata and especially 2-tape automata have been widely used in many areas of computer science such as Natural Language Processing [5, 8, 14] and Speech Processing [9, 11]. They provide an uniform, clear and computationally efficient framework for dictionary representation $[3,7]$ and realization of rewrite rules $[1,6,4]$, as well as text tokenization, lexicon tagging, part-of-speech disambiguation, indexing, filtering and many other text processing tasks [5, 8, 13, 14]. The properties of $k$-tape finite state automata differ significantly from the corresponding properties of 1-tape automata. For example, for $k \geq 2$ the class of relations recognized by $k$-tape automata is not closed under intersection and complement. Moreover there is no general determinization procedure for $k$-tape automata. On the other side the class of relations recognized by $k$-tape finite state automata is closed under a number of useful relational operations like composition, cartesian product, projection, inverse etc. This latter property makes $k$-tape automata interesting for many practical applications.

There exist a number of implementations for $k$-tape finite state automata [5, 10, 12]. Most of them are implementing the 2-tape case only. While it is straightforward to realize constructions for $k$-tape automata that yield union, Kleene-star and concatenation of the recognized relations, the computation of relational operations such as composition, projection and cartesian product is a complex task. This makes the use of the $k$-tape automata framework tedious and difficult.

We introduce an approach for presenting all relevant operations for $n$-tape automata using standard operations for classical 1-tape automata plus some straightforward operations for adding, deleting and permuting tapes. In this way we obtain a transparent, general and efficient framework for implementing $k$-tape automata.

The main idea is to consider a restricted form of $k$-tape automata where all transition labels have exactly one non-empty component representing a single letter. The set of all $k$-tuples of this form represents the basis of the monoid of $k$-tuples of words together with the coordinate-wise concatenation. We call this kind of automata one-letter automata. Treating the basis elements as symbols of a derived alphabet, one-letter automata can be considered as conventional 1-tape automata. This gives rise to a correspondence where standard operations for 1-tape automata may be used to replace complex operations for $k$-tape automata.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides some formal background. In Section 3 we introduce one-letter $k$-tape automata. We show that classical algorithms for union, concatenation and Kleene-star over one-letter automata (considered as 1-tape automata) are correct if the result is interpreted as a $k$-tape automaton. Section 4 is central. A condition is given that guarantees that the intersection of two $k$-dimensional regular relations is again regular. For $k$-tape one-letter automata of a specific form that reflects this condition, any classical algorithm for intersecting the associated 1 -tape automata can be used for computing the intersection of the regular relations recognized by the automata. Section 5 shows how to implement tape permutations for one-letter automata. Using tape permutations, the inverse relation to a given $k$-dimensional regular relation can be realized. In a similar way, Section 6 treats tape insertion, tape deletion and projection operations for $k$-dimensional regular relations. Section 7 shows how to reduce the computation of composition and cartesian product of regular relations to intersections of the kind discussed in Section 4 plus tape insertion and projection. In Section 8 we add some final remarks. We comment on problems that may arise when using $k$-tape automata and on possible solutions.

## 2 Formal Background

We assume that the reader is familar with standard notions from automata theory (see, e.g., $[2,13])$. In the sequel, with $\Sigma$ we denote a finite set of symbols called the alphabet, $\epsilon$ denotes the empty word, and $\Sigma^{\epsilon}:=\Sigma \cup\{\epsilon\}$. The length of a word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ is written $|w|$. If $L_{1}, L_{2} \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ are languages, then

$$
L_{1} \cdot L_{2}:=\left\{w_{1} \cdot w_{2} \mid w_{1} \in L_{1}, w_{2} \in L_{2}\right\}
$$

denotes their concatenation. Here $w_{1} \cdot w_{2}$ is the usual concatenation of words. Recall that $\left\langle\Sigma^{*}, \cdot, \epsilon\right\rangle$ is the free monoid with set of generators $\Sigma$.

If $v=\left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\rangle$ and $w=\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle$ are two $k$-tuples of words, then

$$
v \odot w:=\left\langle v_{1} \cdot w_{1}, \ldots, v_{k} \cdot w_{k}\right\rangle
$$

denotes the coordinate-wise concatenation. With $\hat{\epsilon}$ we denote the $k$-tuple $\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle$. The tuple $\left\langle\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}, \odot, \hat{\epsilon}\right\rangle$ is a monoid that can be described as the $k$-fold cartesian product of the free monoid $\left\langle\Sigma^{*}, \cdot, \epsilon\right\rangle$. As set of generators we consider

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{k}:=\{\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow}}{a}, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, a \in \Sigma\} .
$$

Note that the latter monoid is not free, due to obvious commutation rules for generators. For relations $R \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{0} & :=\{\hat{\epsilon}\} \\
R^{i+1} & :=R^{i} \odot R \\
R^{*} & :=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} R^{i} \quad \text { (Kleene-star). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. The relation

$$
R \ominus(i):=\left\{\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle \mid \exists v \in \Sigma^{*}:\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, v, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle \in R\right\}
$$

is called the projection of $R$ to the set of coordinates $\{1, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, k\}$. If $R_{1}, R_{2} \subseteq$ $\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ are two relations of the same arity, then

$$
R_{1} \odot R_{2}:=\left\{v \odot w \mid v \in R_{1}, w \in R_{2}\right\}
$$

denotes the coordinate-wise concatenation. If $R_{1} \subseteq \Sigma^{* k}$ and $R_{2} \subseteq \Sigma^{* l}$ are two relations, then

$$
R_{1} \times R_{2}:=\left\{\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k+l}\right\rangle \mid\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle \in R_{1},\left\langle w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_{k+l}\right\rangle \in R_{2}\right\}
$$

is the cartesian product of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ and

$$
R_{1} \circ R_{2}:=\left\{\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k+l-2}\right\rangle \mid \exists w:\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k-1}, w\right\rangle \in R_{1},\left\langle w, w_{k}, \ldots, w_{k+l-2}\right\rangle \in R_{2}\right\}
$$

is the composition of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$. Further well-known operations for relations are union, intersection, and inversion ( $k=2$ ).

Definition 2.1 The class of $k$-dimensional regular relations over the alphabet $\Sigma$ is recursively defined in the following way:

- $\varnothing$ and $\{v\}$ for all $v \in \hat{\Sigma}_{k}$ are $k$-dimensional regular relations.
- If $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R$ are $k$-dimensional regular relations, then so are
$-R_{1} \odot R_{2}$,
$-R_{1} \cup R_{2}$,
$-R^{*}$.
- There are no other $k$-dimensional regular relations.

Note 2.2 The class of $k$-dimensional regular relations over a given alphabet $\Sigma$ is closed under union, Kleene-star, coordinate-wise concatenation, composition, projection, and cartesian product. For $k \geq 2$ the class of regular relations is not closed under intersection, difference and complement. Obviously, every 1-dimensional regular relation is a regular language over the alphabet $\Sigma$. Hence, for $k=1$ we obtain closure under intersection, difference and complement.

Definition 2.3 Let $k$ be a positive integer. A $k$-tape automaton is a six-tuple $A=$ $\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$, where $\Sigma$ is an alphabet, $S$ is a finite set of states, $F \subseteq S$ is a set of final states, $s_{0} \in S$ is the initial state and $E \subseteq S \times\left(\Sigma^{\epsilon}\right)^{k} \times S$ is a finite set of transitions. A sequence $s_{0}, a_{1}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}, a_{n}, s_{n}$, where $s_{0}$ is the initial state, $s_{i} \in S$ and $a_{i} \in\left(\Sigma^{\epsilon}\right)^{k}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, is a path for $A$ iff $\left\langle s_{i-1}, a_{i}, s_{i}\right\rangle \in E$ for $1 \leq i<n$. The $k$-tape automaton $A$ recognizes $v \in\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ iff there exists a path $s_{0}, a_{1}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}, a_{n}, s_{n}$ for $A$ such that $s_{n} \in F$ and $v=a_{1} \odot a_{2} \ldots a_{n-1} \odot a_{n}$. With $R(A)$ we denote the set of all tuples in $\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ recognized by $A$, i.e., $R(A):=\left\{v \in\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k} \mid A\right.$ recognizes $\left.v\right\}$.

For a given $k$-tape automaton $A=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$ the generalized transition relation $E^{*} \subset S \times\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k} \times S$ is recursively defined as follows:

1. $\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle, s\rangle \in E^{*}$ for all $s \in S$,
2. if $\left\langle s_{1}, v, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{*}$ and $\left\langle s^{\prime}, a, s_{2}\right\rangle \in E$, then $\left\langle s_{1}, v \odot a, s_{2}\right\rangle \in E^{*}$, for all $v \in\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$, $a \in\left(\Sigma^{\epsilon}\right)^{k}, s_{1}, s^{\prime}, s_{2} \in S$.
Clearly, if $A$ is a $k$-tape automaton, then $R(A)=\left\{v \in\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k} \mid \exists f \in F:\left\langle s_{0}, v, f\right\rangle \in E^{*}\right\}$.
Note 2.4 By a well-known generalization of Kleene's Theorem (see [6]), for each $k$-tape automaton $A$ the set $R(A)$ is a $k$-dimensional regular relation, and for every $k$-dimensional regular relation $R^{\prime}$, there exists a $k$-tape automaton $A^{\prime}$ such that $R\left(A^{\prime}\right)=R^{\prime}$.

## 3 Basic Operations for one-letter automata

In this section we introduce the concept of a one-letter automaton. One-letter automata represent a special form of $k$-tape automata that can be naturally interpreted as one-tape automata over the alphabet $\hat{\Sigma}_{k}$. We show that basic operations such as union, concatenation, and Kleene-star for one-letter automata can be realized using the corresponding standard constructions for conventional one-tape automata.

Definition 3.1 $A$-tape finite state automaton $A=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$ is a one-letter automaton iff all transitions $e \in E$ are of the form

$$
e=\left\langle s,\left\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ i-1}}{\epsilon}, \underset{\substack{\uparrow+1}}{a}, \underset{\substack{i}}{\epsilon}, \ldots, s^{\prime}\right\rangle\right.
$$

for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $a \in \Sigma$.
Proposition 3.2 For every $k$-tape automaton $A$ we may effectively construct a $k$-tape one-letter automaton $A^{\prime}$ such that $R\left(A^{\prime}\right)=R(A)$.

Proof. First we can apply the classical $\epsilon$-removal procedure in order to construct an $\hat{\epsilon}$ free $k$-tape automaton, which leaves the recognized relation unchanged. Let $\bar{A}=$ $\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$ be an $\hat{\epsilon}$-free $k$-tape automaton such that $R(A)=R(\bar{A})$. Then we construct $A^{\prime}=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S^{\prime}, F, s_{0}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle$ using the following algorithm:
$S^{\prime}=S, E^{\prime}=\varnothing$
FOR $s \in S$ DO:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FOR }\left\langle s,\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E \text { DO } \\
& \text { LET } I=\left\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_{i} \neq \epsilon\right\}\left(I=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t}\right\}\right) ; \\
& \text { LET } S^{\prime \prime}=\left\{s_{i_{1}}, \ldots, s_{i_{t-1}}\right\}, \text { SUCH THAT } S^{\prime \prime} \cap S^{\prime}=\varnothing ; \\
& S^{\prime}=S^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime} ; \\
& E^{\prime}=E^{\prime} \cup\left\{\left\langle s_{i_{j}},\left\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon, a_{i_{j}}, \epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon\right\rangle, s_{i_{j+1}}\right\rangle \mid 0 \leq j \leq t-1, s_{i_{0}}=s \text { and } s_{i_{t}}=\right. \\
& \left.s^{\prime}\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

END;
END.
Informally speaking, we split each transition with label $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$ with $t>1$ nonempty coordinates into $t$ subtransitions, introducing $t-1$ new intermediate states.

Corollary 3.3 If $R \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ is a $k$-dimensional regular relation, then there exists a $k$-tape one-letter automaton $A$ such that $R(A)=R$.

Each $k$-tape one-letter automaton $A$ over the alphabet $\Sigma$ can be considered as a onetape automaton (denoted by $\hat{A}$ ) over the alphabet $\hat{\Sigma}_{k}$. Conversely, every $\epsilon$-free one-tape automaton over the alphabet $\hat{\Sigma}_{k}$ can be considered as a $k$-tape automaton over $\Sigma$. Formally, this correspondence can be described using two mappings.

Definition 3.4 The mapping ${ }^{\wedge}$ maps every $k$-tape one-letter automaton $A=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$ to the $\epsilon$-free one-tape automaton $\hat{A}:=\left\langle\hat{\Sigma}_{k}, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$. The mapping ` maps a given $\epsilon$ free one-tape automaton $A^{\prime}=\left\langle\hat{\Sigma}_{k}, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$ to the $k$-tape one-letter automaton $\breve{A}^{\prime}:=$ $\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$.

Obviously, the mappings ^ and ` are inverse. ¿From a computational point of view, the mappings merely represent a conceptual shift where we use another alphabet for looking at transitions labels. States and transitions are not changed.

## Definition 3.5 The mapping

$$
\phi: \hat{\Sigma}_{k}^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma^{* k}: a_{1} \cdots a_{n} \mapsto a_{1} \odot \cdots \odot a_{n}, \epsilon \mapsto \hat{\epsilon}
$$

is called the natural homomorphism between the free monoid $\left\langle\hat{\Sigma}_{k}^{*}, \cdot, \epsilon\right\rangle$ and the monoid $\left\langle\Sigma^{* k}, \odot, \hat{\epsilon}\right\rangle$.

It is trivial to check that $\phi$ is in fact a homomorphism. We have the following connection between the mappings ${ }^{\wedge}$, ${ }^{\wedge}$ and $\phi$.

Lemma 3.6 Let $A=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$ be a $k$-tape one-letter automaton. Then

1. $\check{\hat{A}}=A$.
2. $R(A)=\phi(L(\hat{A}))$.

Furthermore, if $A^{\prime}$ is an $\epsilon$-free one-tape automaton over $\hat{\Sigma}_{k}$, then $\hat{\tilde{A}^{\prime}}=A^{\prime}$.
Thus we obtain the following commutative diagram:


We get the following proposition as a direct consequence of Lemma 3.6 and the homomorphic properties of the mapping $\phi$.

Proposition 3.7 Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be two $k$-tape one-letter automata. Then we have the following:

1. $R\left(A_{1}\right) \cup R\left(A_{2}\right)=\phi\left(L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right) \cup L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)\right)$.
2. $R\left(A_{1}\right) \odot R\left(A_{2}\right)=\phi\left(L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right) \cdot L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)\right)$.
3. $R\left(A_{1}\right)^{*}=\phi\left(L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right)^{*}\right)$.

Algorithmic constructions. ¿From Part 1 of Proposition 3.7 we see the following. Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be two $k$-tape one-letter automata. Then, to construct a one-letter automaton $A$ such that $R(A)=R\left(A_{1}\right) \cup R\left(A_{2}\right)$ we may interpret $A_{i}$ as a one-tape automaton $\hat{A}_{i}$ ( $i=1,2$ ). We use any union-construction for one-tape automata, yielding an automaton $A^{\prime}$ such that $L\left(A^{\prime}\right)=L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right) \cup L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)$. Removing $\epsilon$-transitions and interpreting the resulting automaton $A^{\prime \prime}$ as a $k$-tape automaton $A:=\overleftarrow{A}^{\prime \prime}$ we receive a one-letter automaton such that $R(A)=R\left(A_{1}\right) \cup R\left(A_{2}\right)$. Similarly Parts 2 and 3 show that "classical" algorithms for closing conventional one-tape automata under concatenation and Kleene-star can be directly applied to $k$-tape one-letter automata, yielding algorithms for closing $k$-tape oneletter automata under concatenation and Kleene-star.

## 4 Intersection of one-letter automata

It is well-known that the intersection of two $k$-dimensional regular relations is not necessarily a regular relation. For example, the relations $R_{1}=\left\{\left\langle a^{n} b^{k}, c^{n}\right\rangle \mid n, k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $R_{2}=\left\{\left\langle a^{s} b^{n}, c^{n}\right\rangle \mid s, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ are regular, but $R_{1} \cap R_{2}=\left\{\left\langle a^{n} b^{n}, c^{n}\right\rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is not regular since its first projection is not a regular language. We now introduce a condition that guarantees that the classical construction for intersecting one-tape automata is correct if used for $k$-tape one-letter automata. As a corollary we obtain a condition for the regularity of the intersection of two $k$-dimensional regular relations. This observation will be used later for explicit constructions that yield composition and cartesian product of one-letter automata. A few preparations are needed.

Definition 4.1 Let $v=b_{1} \ldots b_{n}$ be an arbitrary word over the alphabet $\Xi$, i.e., $v \in \Xi^{*}$. We say that the word $v^{\prime}$ is obtained from $v$ by adding the letter $b$ iff $v^{\prime}=b_{1} \ldots b_{j} b b_{j+1} \ldots b_{n}$ for some $0 \leq j \leq n$. In this case we also say that $v$ is obtained from $v^{\prime}$ by deleting the symbol $b$.

Proposition 4.2 Let $v=a_{1} \ldots a_{n} \in \hat{\Sigma}_{k}^{*}$ and $\phi(v)=a_{1} \odot a_{2} \odot \cdots \odot a_{n}=\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle$. Let also $a=\langle\epsilon, \ldots, b, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle \in \hat{\Sigma}_{k}$. Then, if $v^{\prime}$ is obtained from $v$ by adding the letter $a$, then $\phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle$ and $w_{i}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $w_{i}$ by adding the letter $b$.

Definition 4.3 For a regular relation $R \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ the coordinate $i(1 \leq i \leq k)$ is inessential iff for all $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle \in R$ and any $v \in \Sigma^{*}$ we have

$$
\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, v, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle \in R
$$

Analogously, if $A$ is a $k$-tape automaton such that $R(A)=R$ we say that tape $i$ of $A$ is inessential. Otherwise we call coordinate (tape) $i$ essential.

Definition 4.4 Let $A$ be a $k$-tape one-letter automaton and assume that each coordinate in the set $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, k\}$ is inessential for $R(A)$. Then $A$ is in normal form w.r.t. I iff for any tape $i \in I$ we have:

1. $\forall s \in S, \forall a \in \Sigma:\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{i}{a}, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle, s\rangle \in E$,

Proposition 4.5 For any $k$-tape automaton $A$ and any given set $I$ of inessential coordinates of $R(A)$ we may effectively construct a $k$-tape one-letter automaton $A^{\prime}$ in normal form w.r.t. I such that $R\left(A^{\prime}\right)=R(A)$.

Proof. Let $A=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $A$ is in one-letter form (Proposition 3.2). To construct $A^{\prime}=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle$ we use the following algorithm:
$E^{\prime}=E$
FOR $s \in S$ DO
FOR $i \in I \mathrm{DO}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FOR } a \in \Sigma \mathrm{DO} \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { IF }\left(\left(\left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon, \underset{\substack{i}}{a}, \epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime}\right) \&\left(s^{\prime} \neq s\right)\right) \text { THEN } \\
\quad E^{\prime}=E^{\prime} \backslash\left\{\left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon, \underset{i}{a}, \epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\} \\
E^{\prime}=E^{\prime} \cup\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon, \underset{i}{a}, \epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle, s\rangle
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## END;

## END;

END.
The algorithm does not change any transition on an essential tape. Transitions between distinct states that affect an inessential tape in $I$ are erased. For each state we add loops with all symbols from the alphabet for the inessential tapes in $I$. The correctness of the above algorithm follows from the fact that for any inessential tape $i \in I$ we have $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle \in R(A)$ iff $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle \in R(A)$.

Corollary 4.6 Let $R \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ be a regular relation with a set I of inessential coordinates. Then there exists a $k$-tape one-letter automaton $A$ in normal form w.r.t. I such that $R(A)=R$.

The following property of $k$-tape automata in normal form will be useful when proving Lemma 4.8.

Proposition 4.7 Let $A=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$ be a $k$-tape one-letter automaton in normal form w.r.t. the set of inessential coordinates $I$. Let $i_{0} \in I$ and let $v=a_{1} \ldots a_{n} \in L(\hat{A})$. Then for any $a=\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{0}}{b}, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle \in \hat{\Sigma}_{k}$ and any word $v^{\prime} \in \hat{\Sigma}_{k}^{*}$ obtained from $v$ by adding a we have $v^{\prime} \in L(\hat{A})$.

Proof. The condition for the automaton $A$ to be in normal form w.r.t. $I$ yields that for all $s \in S$ the transition $\langle s, a, s\rangle$ is in $E$, which proves the proposition.
Now we are ready to formulate and prove the following sufficient condition for the regularity of the intersection of two regular relations. With $K$ we denote the set of coordinates $\{1, \ldots, k\}$.

Lemma 4.8 For $i=1,2$, let $A_{i}$ be a $k$-tape one-letter automaton, let $I_{i} \subseteq K$ denote a given set of inessential coordinates for $A_{i}$. Let $A_{i}$ be in normal form w.r.t. $I_{i} \quad(i=1,2)$. Assume that $\left|K \backslash\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right)\right| \leq 1$, which means that there exists at most one common essential tape for $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. Then $R\left(A_{1}\right) \cap R\left(A_{2}\right)$ is a regular $k$-dimensional relation. Moreover $R\left(A_{1}\right) \cap R\left(A_{2}\right)=\phi\left(L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right) \cap L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)\right)$.

Proof. It is obvious that $\phi\left(L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right) \cap L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq R\left(A_{1}\right) \cap R\left(A_{2}\right)$, because if $a_{1} \ldots a_{n} \in$ $L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right) \cap L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)$, then by Lemma 3.6 we have $a_{1} \odot \cdots \odot a_{n} \in R\left(A_{1}\right) \cap R\left(A_{2}\right)$. We give a detailed proof for the other direction, showing that

$$
R\left(A_{1}\right) \cap R\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq \phi\left(L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right) \cap L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)\right)
$$

For the proof the reader should keep in mind that the transition labels of the automata $A_{i}(i=1,2)$ are elements of $\hat{\Sigma}_{k}$, which means that the sum of the lengths of the words representing the components is exactly 1 .

Let $\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle \in R\left(A_{1}\right) \cap R\left(A_{2}\right)$. Let $j_{0} \in K$ be a coordinate such that for each $j_{0} \neq j \in K$ we have $j \in I_{1}$ or $j \in I_{2}$. Let $E_{1}=K \backslash I_{1}$. Recall that for $i \in E_{1}, i \neq j_{0}$ always $i \in I_{2}$ is an inessential tape for $A_{2}$. Then by the definition of inessential tapes the tuples $\left\langle w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle w_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$, where

$$
w_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon, \text { if } i \in I_{1} \\
w_{i}, \text { if } i \in E_{1}
\end{array} \quad w_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon, \text { if } i \in E_{1} \text { and } i \neq j_{0} \\
w_{i}, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

respectively are in $R\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $R\left(A_{2}\right)$. Then there are words $v^{\prime}=a_{1}^{\prime} \ldots a_{n}^{\prime} \in L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right)$ and $v^{\prime \prime}=a_{1}^{\prime \prime} \ldots a_{m}^{\prime \prime} \in L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)$ such that $\phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\phi\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left\langle w_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$. Note that $n=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|w_{i}^{\prime}\right|$ and $m=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|w_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|$. Furthermore, $w_{j_{0}}=w_{j_{0}}^{\prime}=w_{j_{0}}^{\prime \prime}$. Let $l=\left|w_{j_{0}}\right|$. We now construct a word $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{r} \in L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right) \cap L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)$ such that $a_{1} \odot a_{2} \odot \ldots \odot a_{r}=$ $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle$, which imposes that $r=n+m-l$. Each letter $a_{i}$ is obtained copying a suitable letter from one of the sequences $a_{1}^{\prime} \ldots a_{n}^{\prime}$ and $a_{1}^{\prime \prime} \ldots a_{m}^{\prime \prime}$. In order to control the selection, we use the pair of indices $t_{i}^{\prime}, t_{i}^{\prime \prime}(0 \leq i<n+m-l)$, which can be considered as pointers to the two sequences. The definition of $t_{i}^{\prime}, t_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $a_{i}$ proceeds inductively in the following way. Let $t_{0}^{\prime}=t_{0}^{\prime \prime}:=1$. Assume that $t_{i}^{\prime}$ and $t_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are defined for some $0 \leq i<n+m-l$. We show how to define $a_{i+1}$ and the indices $t_{i+1}^{\prime}$ and $t_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$. We distinguish four cases:

1. if $t_{i}^{\prime}=n+1$ and $t_{i}^{\prime \prime}=m+1$ we stop; else
2. if $a_{t_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \substack{\begin{subarray}{c}{\begin{subarray}{c}{j} }} \end{subarray}} \\{j} \end{subarray}, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle$ for some $j \neq j_{0}$, then $a_{i+1}:=a_{t_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, t_{i+1}^{\prime}:=t_{i}^{\prime}+1, t_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}:=t_{i}^{\prime \prime}$,
3. if $a_{t_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\left\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ j_{0}}}{b}, \ldots, \epsilon\right\rangle$ or $t_{i}^{\prime}=n+1$, and $a_{t_{i}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}=\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ j}}{c}, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle$ for some $j \neq j_{0}$, then $a_{i+1}:=a_{t_{i}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}, t_{i+1}^{\prime}:=t_{i}^{\prime}$, and $t_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}:=t_{i}^{\prime \prime}+1$.
4. if $a_{t_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=a_{t_{i}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{1 \\ j_{0}}}{b}, \ldots, \epsilon\right\rangle$ for some $b \in \Sigma$, then $a_{i+1}:=a_{t_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, t_{i+1}^{\prime}:=t_{i}^{\prime}+1$ and $t_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}:=t_{i}^{\prime \prime}+1$.
¿From an intuitive point of view, the definition yields a kind of zig-zag construction. We always proceed in one sequence until we come to a transition that affects coordinate $j_{0}$. At this point we continue using the other sequence. Once we have in both sequences a transition that affects $j_{0}$, we enlarge both indices. ¿From $w_{j_{0}}^{\prime}=w_{j_{0}}^{\prime \prime}=w_{j_{0}}$ it follows immediately that the recursive definition stops exactly when $i+1=n+m-l$. In fact the subsequences of $a_{1}^{\prime} \ldots a_{n}^{\prime}$ and $a_{1}^{\prime \prime} \ldots a_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ from which $w_{j_{0}}$ is obtained must be identical.

Using induction on $0 \leq i \leq n+m-l$ we now prove that the word $a_{1} \ldots a_{i}$ is obtained from $a_{1}^{\prime} \ldots a_{t_{i}^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}$ by adding letters in $\hat{\Sigma}_{k}$ which have a non- $\epsilon$ symbol in an inessential coordinate for $R\left(A_{1}\right)$. The base of the induction is obvious. Let the statement be true for some $0 \leq i<n+m-l$. We prove it for $i+1$ :

The word $a_{1} \ldots a_{i} a_{i+1}$ is obtained from $a_{1} \ldots a_{i}$ by adding the letter $a_{i+1}=\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle$, and according to the induction hypothesis $a_{1} \ldots a_{i}$ is obtained from $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots a_{t_{i}-1}^{\prime}$ by adding letters with a non $-\epsilon$ symbol in a coordinate in $I_{1}$. If $j \in E_{1}$ (Cases 2 and 4 ), then $a_{i+1}=a_{t_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, $t_{i+1}^{\prime}=t_{i}^{\prime}+1$ and $t_{i+1}^{\prime}-1=t_{i}^{\prime}$, hence $a_{1} \ldots a_{i} a_{i+1}$ is obtained from $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots a_{t_{i}^{\prime}-1}^{\prime} a_{t_{i+1}^{\prime-1}}^{\prime}$ by adding letters satisfying the above condition. On the other side, if $j \in I_{1}$ (Case 3) we have $a_{i+1}=a_{t_{i}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}$ and $t_{i+1}^{\prime}:=t_{i}^{\prime}$, which means that $a_{1}^{\prime} \ldots a_{t_{i+1}^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}=a_{1}^{\prime} \ldots a_{t_{i}^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}$ and $a_{i+1}$ is a letter satisfying the condition. Thus $a_{1} \ldots a_{i} a_{i+1}$ is obtained from $a_{1}^{\prime} \ldots a_{t_{i+1}^{\prime-1}}^{\prime}$ adding letters which have non- $\epsilon$ symbol on an inessential tape for $A_{1}$, which means that the statement is true for $i+1$.
Analogously we prove for $0 \leq i \leq n+m-l$ that $a_{1} \ldots a_{i}$ is obtained from $a_{1}^{\prime \prime} \ldots a_{t_{i}^{\prime \prime}-1}^{\prime \prime}$ by adding letters in $\hat{\Sigma}_{k}$ which have a non- $\epsilon$ symbol in an inessential coordinate for $R\left(A_{2}\right)$. By Proposition 4.7, $a_{1} \ldots a_{n+m-l} \in L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right)$ and $a_{1} \ldots a_{n+m-l} \in L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)$. From Proposition 4.2 we obtain that $a_{1} \odot \cdots \odot a_{n+m-l}=\left\langle u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\rangle$, where $u_{i}=w_{i}^{\prime}$ if $i \in E_{1}$ and $u_{i}=w_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ otherwise. But now remembering the definition of $w_{i}^{\prime}$ and $w_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ we obtain that $a_{1} \odot \cdots \odot a_{n+m-l}=\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle$, which we had to prove.

Corollary 4.9 If $R_{1} \subseteq(\Sigma)^{k}$ and $R_{2} \subseteq(\Sigma)^{k}$ are two $k$-dimensional regular relations with at most one common essential coordinate $i(1 \leq i \leq k)$, then $R_{1} \cap R_{2}$ is a $k$-dimensional regular relation.

Algorithmic construction. ¿From Lemma 4.8 we see the following. Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be two $k$-tape one-letter automata with at most one common essential tape $i$. Assume that both automata are in normal form w.r.t. the sets of inessential tapes. Then the relation $R\left(A_{1}\right) \cap R\left(A_{2}\right)$ is recognized by any $\epsilon$-free 1 -tape automaton $A^{\prime}$ accepting $L\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right) \cap L\left(\hat{A}_{2}\right)$, treating $A^{\prime}$ as a $k$-tape one-letter automaton $A=\check{A}^{\prime}$.

## 5 Tape permutation and inversion for one-letter automata

In the sequel, let $S_{k}$ denote the symmetric group of $k$ elements.

Definition 5.1 Let $R \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ be a regular relation, let $\sigma \in S_{k}$. The permutation of coordinates induced by $\sigma, \sigma(R)$, is defined as

$$
\sigma(R):=\left\{\left\langle w_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \sigma(i)}}{w_{i}}, \ldots, w_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}\right\rangle \mid\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle \in R\right\}
$$

Proposition 5.2 For a given $k$-tape one-letter automaton $A=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$, let $\sigma(A):=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, \sigma(E)\right\rangle$ where

Then $R(\sigma(A))=\sigma(R(A))$.
Proof. Using induction over the construction of $E^{*}$ and $\sigma(E)^{*}$ we prove that for all $s^{\prime} \in S$ and $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle \in\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{*} \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad & \left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, w_{i}, \ldots, w_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in \sigma(E)^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$" \Rightarrow "$. The base of the induction is obvious since $\left\langle s_{0},\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle, s_{0}\right\rangle \in E^{*} \cap \sigma(E)^{*}$. Now suppose that there are transitions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle, s\right\rangle \in E^{*} \\
& \left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\
i}}{a}, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by induction hypothesis, $\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, w_{i}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}\right\rangle, s\right\rangle \in \sigma(E)^{*}$. The definition of $\sigma(E)$ shows that $\left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \sigma(i)}}{a}, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in \sigma(E)$. Hence

$$
\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, w_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \sigma(i)}}^{\prime} a, \ldots, w_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in \sigma(E)^{*}
$$

which we had to prove.
$" \Leftarrow "$. Follows analogously to " $\Rightarrow$ ".

Corollary 5.3 Let $R \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ be a $k$-dimensional regular relation and $\sigma \in S_{k}$. Then also $\sigma(R)$ is a $k$-dimensional regular relation.

Algorithmic construction. ¿From Proposition 5.2 we see the following. Let $A$ be a 2-tape one-letter automaton. If $\sigma$ denotes the transposition $(1,2)$, then automaton $\sigma(A)$ defined as above recognizes the relation $R(A)^{-1}$.

## 6 Tape insertion, tape deletion and projection

Definition 6.1 Let $R \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ be a $k$-dimensional regular relation. We define the insertion of an inessential coordinate at position $i$ (denoted $R \oplus(i)$ ) as

$$
R \oplus(i):=\left\{\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, v, w_{i}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle \mid\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle \in R, v \in \Sigma^{*}\right\}
$$

Proposition 6.2 Let $A=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$ be a $k$-tape one-letter automaton. Let $A^{\prime}:=$ $\left\langle k+1, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\prime}:= & \left\{\left\langles,\left\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow}}{\left.\left.a, \ldots, \epsilon, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\
k+1}}{\epsilon}\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \mid\left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow}}{a, \ldots, \underset{\uparrow}{i}\rangle} \underset{k}{\epsilon}\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E\right\}}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \cup\{\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\
k+1}}{a}\rangle, s\rangle \mid s \in S, a \in \Sigma\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $R\left(A^{\prime}\right)=R(A) \oplus(k+1)$.
Proof. First, using induction on the construction of $E^{\prime *}$ we prove that for all $s \in S$ and $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, w_{k+1}\right\rangle \in\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k+1}$ we have

$$
\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, w_{k+1}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, \epsilon\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *}
$$

" $\Rightarrow$ ". The base of the induction is obvious. Assume there are transitions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}, w_{k+1}\right\rangle, s\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *} \\
& \left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{i}{\uparrow}, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

First assume that $i \leq k$. By induction hypothesis,

$$
\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}, \epsilon\right\rangle, s\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *}
$$

Using the definition of $E^{\prime *}$ we obtain

$$
\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime} a, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}, \epsilon\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *}
$$

If $i=k+1$, then $s=s^{\prime}$. We may directly use the induction hypothesis to obtain $\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, \epsilon\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *}$.
$" \Leftarrow "$. Let $\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, \epsilon\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{*}$. Let $w_{k+1}=v_{1} \ldots v_{n} \in \Sigma^{*}$ where $v_{i} \in \Sigma$. The definition of $E^{\prime}$ shows that for all $v_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ there exists a transition $\left\langle s^{\prime},\left\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon, v_{i}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in$ $E^{\prime}$. Hence $\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, w_{k+1}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *}$.
To finish the proof observe that the definition of $E^{\prime}$ yields

$$
\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{*} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, \epsilon\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *}
$$

Corollary 6.3 If $R \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ is a regular relation, then $R \oplus(i)$ is a $(k+1)$-dimensional regular relation.

Proof. The corollary directly follows from Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 5.2 having in mind that $R \oplus(i)=\sigma(R \oplus(k+1))$ where $\sigma$ is the cyclic permutation $(i, i+1, \ldots, k, k+1) \in$ $S_{k+1}$.

It is well-known that the projection of a $k$-dimensional regular relation is again a regular relation. The following propositions show how to obtain a ( $k-1$ )-tape one-letter automaton representing the relation $R \ominus(i)$ (cf. Section 2) directly from a $k$-tape one-letter automaton representing the relation $R$.

Proposition 6.4 Let $A=\left\langle k, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$ be a $k$-tape one-letter automaton. Let $A^{\prime}$ := $\left\langle k-1, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle$ be the $(k-1)$-tape automaton where for $i \leq k-1$ we have

$$
\left.\left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ i}}{a}, \ldots, \underset{k-1}{\uparrow}\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\langle s, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ 1}}{\langle\epsilon}, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ i}}{a}, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ k}}{\epsilon}\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E
$$

and furthermore

$$
\left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ k-1}}{\epsilon}\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists a_{k} \in \Sigma_{k}:\left\langle s,\left\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ k-1}}{\epsilon}, a_{k}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E .
$$

Then $R\left(A^{\prime}\right)=R(A) \ominus(k)$.
Note 6.5 The resulting automaton $A^{\prime}$ is not necessarily a one-letter automaton because $A^{\prime}$ may have some $\hat{\epsilon}$-transitions. It could be transformed into a one-letter automaton using a standard $\epsilon$-removal procedure.

Proof of Proposition 6.4. It is sufficient to prove that for all $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k-1}, w_{k}\right\rangle \in\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ and $s^{\prime} \in S$ we have

$$
\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k-1}, w_{k}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{*} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k-1}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *} .
$$

Again we use an induction on the construction of $E^{*}$ and $E^{\prime *}$.
$" \Rightarrow$ ". The base is trivial since $\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ k}}{\epsilon}, s_{0}\right\rangle \in E^{*}\right.$ and $\left\langle s_{0},\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ k-1}}{\epsilon}\rangle, s_{0}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *}$.
Let $\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle, s\right\rangle \in E^{*}$ and $\left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\uparrow}{,}, \ldots, \epsilon\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$.
First assume that $j<k$. The induction hypothesis yields $\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{k-1}\right\rangle, s\right\rangle \in$ $E^{\prime *}$. Since $\left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, a, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \uparrow}}{\epsilon}\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime}$ we have $\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{j}^{\prime} a, \ldots, w_{k-1}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *}$. If $j=k$, then the induction hypothesis yields $\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k-1}\right\rangle, s\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *}$. We have $\left\langle s,\langle\epsilon, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ k-1}}{\epsilon}\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime}$, hence $\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k-1}\right\rangle, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E^{\prime *}$.
$" \Leftarrow "$. Similarly as " $\Rightarrow$ ".

Corollary 6.6 If $R \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{k}$ is a regular relation, then $R \ominus(i)$ is $(k-1)$-dimensional regular relation.

Proof. The corollary follows directly from $R \ominus(i)=\left(\sigma^{-1}(R)\right) \ominus(k)$, where $\sigma$ is the cyclic permutation $(i, i+1, \ldots, k) \in S_{k}$ and Proposition 5.2.
Algorithmic construction. The constructions given in Proposition 6.4 and 5.2 together with an obvious $\hat{\epsilon}$-elimination show how to obtain a one-letter $(k-1)$-tape automaton $A^{\prime}$ for the projection $R \ominus(i)$, given a one-letter $k$-tape automaton $A$ recognizing $R$.

## 7 Composition and cartesian product of regular relations

We now show how to construct composition and cartesian product (cf. Section 2) of regular relations via automata constructions for standard 1-tape automata.

Lemma 7.1 Let $R_{1} \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{n_{1}}$ and $R_{2} \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{n_{2}}$ be regular relations. Then the composition $R_{1} \circ R_{2}$ is a ( $n_{1}+n_{2}-2$ )-dimensional regular relation.

Proof. Using Corollary 6.3 we see that the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}^{\prime} & :=\left(\ldots\left(\left(R_{1} \oplus\left(n_{1}+1\right)\right) \oplus\left(n_{1}+2\right)\right) \oplus \ldots\right) \oplus\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-1\right) \\
R_{2}^{\prime} & :=\left(\ldots\left(\left(R_{2} \oplus(1)\right) \oplus(2)\right) \oplus \ldots\right) \oplus\left(n_{1}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are ( $n_{1}+n_{2}-1$ )-dimensional regular relations. Using the definition of $\oplus$ we see that the essential coordinates for $R_{1}^{\prime}$ are in the set $E_{1}=\left\{1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}$ and those of $R_{2}^{\prime}$ are in the set
$E_{2}=\left\{n_{1}, n_{1}+1, \ldots, n_{1}+n_{2}-1\right\}$. Therefore $R_{1}^{\prime}$ and $R_{2}^{\prime}$ have at most one common essential coordinate, namely $n_{1}$. Corollary 4.9 shows that $R=R_{1}^{\prime} \cap R_{2}^{\prime}$ is a $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-1\right)$-dimensional regular relation. Since coordinates in $E_{1}$ (resp. $E_{2}$ ) are inessential for $R_{2}^{\prime}$ (resp. $R_{1}^{\prime}$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}-1}^{\prime}, w, w_{n_{1}+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \in R_{1}^{\prime} \cap R_{2}^{\prime} \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad & \left\langle w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}-1}^{\prime}, w\right\rangle \in R_{1} \quad \& \quad\left\langle w, w_{n_{1}+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \in R_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the definition of $\ominus$ and Corollary 4.6 we obtain that $R \ominus\left(n_{1}\right)$ is a $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-2\right)$ dimensional regular relation such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}-1}^{\prime}, w_{n_{1}+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \in R \ominus\left(n_{1}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists & \exists \in \in \Sigma^{*}:\left\langle w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \underset{\uparrow}{w}, w_{n_{1}+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \in R .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining both equivalences we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}-1}^{\prime}, w_{n_{1}+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \in R \ominus\left(n_{1}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists & \exists w \in \Sigma^{*}:\left\langle w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}-1}^{\prime}, w\right\rangle \in R_{1} \quad \& \quad\left\langle w, w_{n_{1}+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \in R_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $R \ominus\left(n_{1}\right)=R_{1} \circ R_{2}$.

Lemma 7.2 Let $R_{1} \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{n_{1}}$ and $R_{2} \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{n_{2}}$ be regular relations. Then the cartesian product $R_{1} \times R_{2}$ is a ( $n_{1}+n_{2}$ )-dimensional regular relation over $\Sigma$.

Proof. Similarly as in Lemma 7.1 we construct the $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)$-dimensional regular relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}^{\prime} & :=\left(\ldots\left(\left(R_{1} \oplus\left(n_{1}+1\right)\right) \oplus\left(n_{1}+2\right)\right) \oplus \ldots\right) \oplus\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) \\
R_{2}^{\prime} & \left.:=\left(\ldots\left(\left(R_{2}\right) \oplus(1)\right) \oplus(2)\right) \oplus \ldots\right) \oplus\left(n_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coordinates in $\left\{1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}$ are inessential for $R_{2}^{\prime}$ and those in $\left\{n_{1}+1, \ldots, n_{1}+n_{2}\right\}$ are inessential for $R_{1}^{\prime}$. Therefore $R_{1}^{\prime}$ and $R_{2}^{\prime}$ have no common essential coordinate and, by Corollary 4.9, $R:=R_{1}^{\prime} \cap R_{2}^{\prime}$ is a $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)$-dimensional regular relation. Using the definition of inessential coordinates and the definition of $\oplus$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}}^{\prime}, w_{n_{1}+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}+n_{2}}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \in R \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad & \left\langle w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}}^{\prime}\right\rangle \in R_{1} \quad \& \quad\left\langle w_{n_{1}+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{n_{1}+n_{2}}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \in R_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}$.
Algorithmic construction. The constructions described in the above proofs show how to obtain one-letter automata for the composition $R_{1} \circ R_{2}$ and for the cartesian product $R_{1} \times R_{2}$ of the regular relations $R_{1} \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{n_{1}}$ and $R_{2} \subseteq\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)^{n_{2}}$, given one-letter automata $A_{i}$ for $R_{i}(i=1,2)$. In more detail, in order to construct an automaton for $R_{1} \circ R_{2}$ we

1. add $n_{2}-1$ final inessential tapes to $A_{1}$ and $n_{1}-1$ initial inessential tapes to $A_{2}$ in the way described above (note that the resulting automata are in normal form w.r.t. the new tapes),
2. intersect the resulting automata as conventional one-tape automata over the alphabet $\hat{\Sigma}_{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}$, obtaining $A$,
3. remove the $n_{1}$-th tape from $A$ and apply an $\epsilon$-removal, thus obtaining $A^{\prime}$, which is the desired automaton.
In order to construct an automaton for $R_{1} \times R_{2}$ we
4. add $n_{2}$ final inessential tapes to $A_{1}$ and $n_{1}$ initial inessential tapes to $A_{2}$ in the way described above,
5. intersect the resulting automata as normal one-tape automata over the alphabet $\hat{\Sigma}_{n_{1}+n_{2}}$, obtaining $A$, which is the desired automaton.
At the end we will discuss the problem of how to represent identity relations as regular relations. First observe that the automaton $A:=\left\langle 2, \Sigma, S, F, s_{0}, E\right\rangle$ where $\Sigma:=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$, $S:=\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}, F:=\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ and

$$
E:=\left\{\left\langle s_{0},\left\langle a_{i}, \epsilon\right\rangle s_{i}\right\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{\left\langle s_{i},\left\langle\epsilon, a_{i}\right\rangle s_{0}\right\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$

accepts $R(A)=\left\{\langle v, v\rangle \mid v \in \Sigma^{*}\right\}$. The latter relation we denote with $I d_{\Sigma}$.
Proposition 7.3 Let $R_{1}$ be 1-dimensional regular relation, i.e., a regular language. Then the set $\operatorname{Id}_{R_{1}}:=\left\{\langle v, v\rangle \mid v \in R_{1}\right\}$ is a regular relation. Moreover $\operatorname{Id}_{R_{1}}=\left(R_{1} \oplus(2)\right) \cap \operatorname{Id} d_{\Sigma}$.

## 8 Conclusion

We introduced the concept of a one-letter $k$-tape automaton and showed that one-letter automata can be considered as conventional 1-tape automata over an enlarged alphabet. Using this correspondence, standard constructions for union, concatenation, and Kleenestar for 1-tape automata can be directly used for one-letter automata. Furthermore we have seen that the usual relational operations for $k$-tape automata can be traced back to the intersection of 1-tape automata plus straightforward operations for adding, permuting and erasing tapes.

We have implemented the presented approach for implementation of transducer (2tape automata) representing rewrite rules. Using it we have successfuly realized Bulgarian hyphenation and tokenization.

Still, in real applications the use of one-letter automata comes with some specific problems, in particular in situations where the composition algorithm is heavily used. In the resulting automata we sometimes find a large number of paths that are equivalent if permutation rules for generators are taken into account. For example, we might find three paths with label sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle a, \epsilon\rangle,\langle a, \epsilon\rangle,\langle\epsilon, b\rangle \\
& \langle\epsilon, b\rangle,\langle a, \epsilon,\rangle,\langle a, \epsilon,\rangle \\
& \langle a, \epsilon,\rangle,\langle\epsilon, b\rangle,\langle a, \epsilon,\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

all representing the tuple $\langle a a, b\rangle$. In the worst case this may lead to an exponential blow-up of the number of states, compared to the classical construction for $n$-tape automaton.

We currently study techniques to get rid of superfluous paths. In many cases, equivalences of the above form can be recognized and used for eliminating states and transitions. The extension and refinement of these methods is one central point of current and future work.
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